UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/066,238	01/30/2002	Mehmet Sayal	10010317-1	2777
7590 09/13/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
	operty Administration		GREIMEL, JOCELYN	
P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, .	,		3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/066,238	SAYAL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jocelyn Greimel	3693			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe This action is FINAL 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 18-22 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14, 18-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examined 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the drawing(s) is objected to by the legan control of the leg	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	Δ\	(DTO 412)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to the Interview conducted 20 August 2007. Applicant's expressed in the Interview that claim 1 had not been amended and therefore the rejection of this claim should not be made final using a new reference. The Examiner reissues the rejection below and changes the rejection of claim 1. This rejection is made final.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-14 and 18-22 are currently pending. Claims 15-17 have been canceled. Claims 1 and 8 are independent claims. Claims 4, 6, 7, 8, 13, 18, 19 and 20 are currently amended. Claims 21 and 22 are new claims.

Claim Objections

The objection to claim 6 is withdrawn. The objection to claim 15 is moot, as the claim has been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections are withdrawn or are moot, as the claims have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected as being unpatentable over Stewart et al (US Patent No. 7,051,072, hereinafter Stewart). In reference to claim 1, Stewart discloses a method and system:

a. receiving a message having the first data representation from the internal

business process (abstract; Fig. 1; col. 4, line 65 – col. 6, line 14); and;

b. automatically converting the message having the first data representation into a

corresponding message having the communication format specified by the interaction

standard (abstract; Fig. 1; col. 4, line 65 – col. 6, line 14).

Claims 2-14 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Knauss et al (U.S. Patent

No. 7,043,687 B2, hereinafter Knauss). In reference to claim 8, Knauss discloses a method, system and

apparatus comprising:

c. receiving a message having the first data representation from the internal

business process (abstract; col. 1-3); and

d. automatically converting the message having the first data representation into a

corresponding message having the communication format specified by the interaction

standard, using format conversion (abstract; col. 1-3).

In reference to claims 2 and 9-10, Knauss discloses a method and system:

e. receiving a message in the communication format from the trading partner

(abstract; col. 1-3); and

f. automatically converting the received message having the communication format

specified by the interaction standard into a corresponding message having the first data

representation (abstract; col. 1-3).

In reference to claims 3-4 and 12-13, Knauss discloses a method and system:

Application/Control Number: 10/066,238 Page 4

Art Unit: 3693

g. wherein the interaction standard is one of a peer-to-peer (P2P) standard and a

business-to-business (B2B) standard (col. 1-3);

h. wherein the interaction standard is one of RosettaNet and the Common Business

Library (CBL) (col. 2).

In reference to claims 5 and 14, Knauss discloses:

i. a business internal business process includes at least one workflow (col. 8).

In reference to claim 6, Knauss discloses a method and system:

j. wherein the step of automatically converting the message having the first data

representation into a corresponding message having the communication format specified

by the interaction standard retrieving a service definition (abstract, col. 2-3; col. 6-8);

k. retrieving a mark-up language document template; and preparing a mark-up

language message that is based on the mark-up language document template (abstract,

col. 2-3; col. 6-8).

In reference to claim 7, Knauss discloses a method and system:

l. wherein the step of automatically converting the received message having the

communication format specified by the interaction standard into a corresponding message

having the first data representation includes:

i. retrieving at least one XQL query; and

ii. executing the XQL query to extract the data from the reply (col. 2-3).

In reference to claim 11, Knauss discloses the method and system:

Art Unit: 3693

m. Wherein the trading partner conversation manager automatically maps a first message with the first data representation into a corresponding first message in the communication format (abstract; col. 2); and

n. Automatically maps a second message in the communication format into a corresponding second message in the first data representation (abstract; col. 2).

In reference to claims 18-20, Knauss discloses a method and system wherein the step of automatically converting the received message having the communication format specified by the interaction standard into the corresponding message having the first data representation includes the steps of retrieving a service name and XQL queries; parsing the request and extracting data; starting the service and passing data; obtaining service results; retrieving an XML template; preparing an XML response; sending the XML message; and returning control to the workflow server (abstract; see at least col. 2-4; col. 6-11; figures 9-11). In reference to claims 21-22, Knauss discloses interaction flows (col. 8).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-14 and 18-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3693

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jocelyn Greimel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/066,238

Art Unit: 3693

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jocelyn Greimel Examiner, Art Unit 3693 September 1, 2007

9-10-0

Page 7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600